

ARTICLE INDEX [VOLUME 5 2020]

ENGAGING PEOPLE, ENABLING TECHNOLOGY: CITIZEN'S PERSPECTIVES ON ANTI FRAUD MEASURES IN THE INDONESIAN PUBLIC SERVICES SECTOR - Indra Soeharto & Heri Kurniadi Sugiyo Pranoto	1-10
THE GOVERNMENT'S INTERNAL AUDIT PLANNING STRATEGY IN PREVENTING CORRUPTION IN THE PROCUREMENT SECTOR IN THE ERA OF DISRUPTION - Mustofa Kamal & Nasarudin	11-23
DOES MORAL HAZARD OCCUR IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE? EVIDENCE FROM PUBLIC HOSPITALS IN A RURAL PROVINCE OF INDONESIA - Rizanda Machmud, Syafrawati, Syed Mohamed Aljunid, Rima Semiarty	24-32
ANALYSIS OF THE READINESS OF INDONESIAN PEOPLE AND REGULATIONS IN HANDLING FRAUD ON TECHNOLOGY EXPLOITATION - Mustika Prabaningrum Kusumawati, Ari Nur Rahman, Panzi Aulia Rahman, Henry Adrian Sumule, Endrojoyo Sigit Triyono	33-55
INCIDENCE AND ROOT CAUSE OF UPCODING IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SOCIAL HEALTH INSURANCE IN RURAL PROVINCE HOSPITAL IN INDONESIA - Syafrawati, Rizanda Machmud, Syed Mohamed Aljunid, Rima Semiarty	56-61
LEADERSHIP OF THE HEAD OF A CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION IN ACHIEVING THE TITLE OF CORRUPTION-FREE AREA (Case study at the Semarang Class II Women's Correctional Institution) - Mulyani Rahayu	62-67
THE IDENTIFICATION OF MONEY LAUNDERING ON DRUG TRAFFICKING - Lucky Nurhadiyanto	68-74
THE EFFECT OF INVESTIGATIVE, INDEPENDENT AUDIT AND DUE PROFESSIONAL CARE AUDITS ON THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF FRAUD PROCEDURES MODERATED BY SOCIAL PRESSURE IN THE INTERNAL AUDIT DEPARTMENT OF PT. INDOAGUNG MULTIKREASI CERAMIC INDUSTRI & PT. WIJAYA DISTRIBUTION - Dede Rahmat, Eko Gumaya Sari	75-86
THE WAY THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT WORKS TO FIGHT AGAINST CORRUPTION IN KUPANG CITY IN THE PERSPECTIVES OF GENERATIONS X AND Y - Friandry Windisany Thoomaszen, Sance Mariana Tameon	87-97

THE EFFECT OF ECONOMIC STRENGTH, GOVERNMENT DEBT, LEVEL OF DEMOCRACY, PUBLIC TRUST, AND LEVEL OF HAPPINESS ON CORRUPTION PERCEPTION - Dedy Susanto	98-107
THE EFFECT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE, LEADERSHIP STYLE, WHISTLEBLOWING SYSTEMS, AND KNOW YOUR EMPLOYEE ON FRAUD PREVENTION IN SHARIA BANKING - Suharto	108-117
ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS USING FRAUD PENTAGON THEORY APPROACH (A Conceptual Framework) - Dona Ramadhan	118-125
FRAUD AND WHISTLEBLOWING IN THE MANAGEMENT OF STUDENT FUNDS - Aprina Nugrahesthy Sulistya Hapsari, Edi Supriyono	126-136
FRAUD RISK MANAGEMENT: AN ANTI-FRAUD MODEL FOR TOURIST ACCOMMODATION IN BALI - Nyoman Sunarti, Putu Sukma Kurniawan, Edy Sujana, I Nyoman Putra Yasa	137-146
THE USE OF BENFORD'S LAW IN PERFORMANCE AUDIT TO DETECT FRAUD IN THE STATE EXPENDITURE TRANSACTIONS ASSISTED BY IDEA SOFTWARE (A Case Study at the Transportation Ministry Work Units) - Eka C. Setyawan	147-159
WHISTLEBLOWING FOR FRAUD: PERSPECTIVE OF LEADERSHIP TYPES AND ACCOUNTABILITY PRESSURE - Aulia Damar Sustika, Intiyas Utami, Aprina Nugrahesthy Sulistya Hapsari	161-170
CASHLESS GOVERNMENT: A CORRUPTION PREVENTION STRATEGY IN GOVERNMENT AGENCIES - Paramita Nur Kurniati, Bernardus Yuliarto Nugroho	171-182
THE EFFECT OF AUDITOR'S COMPETENCE AND INDEPENDENCE ON INFORMATION SYSTEM AUDIT QUALITY - Santy Setiawan, Yenni Carolina, Aura Kristiani	183-190
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) IN ANTI-CORRUPTION TO SUSTAIN ECONOMIC GROWTH - Muhammad Arfan Pratama	191-200
INDONESIAN NATIONAL POLICE'S ANTI-CORRUPTION FUNCTION AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT - Nugroho Ari Setyawan... ..	201-211
THE EFFECT OF FEE AND MOTIVATION ON CORRUPTION ERADICATION USING KPK PERFORMANCE AS THE INTERVENING VARIABLE - Eko Gumaya Sari, Dede Rahmat, Sofyan Widjaya	212-220
THE RELATION OF CORRUPTION POTENTIAL PERCEPTION WITH BUSINESS INTEGRATION, PUBLIC INTEGRATION, AND LOCAL INTEGRATION SYSTEM (FROM CORRUPTION PERCEPTION SURVEY ANALYSIS) - Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy, Santi Lina	221-232

THE ROLE OF LEADERSHIP, CORPORATE CULTURE RE- INFORCEMENT, AND HUMAN CAPITAL DIVISION IN PRE- VENTING FRAUD STUDY ON ISLAMIC BANKS - Ade Yuliar, Dita Andraeny	233-240
HUMANITY IN THE ENFORCEMENT OF ANTI-CORRUPTION LAWS - Tomy Michael	241-243
ANALYSIS OF GRATUITY CASE OF RITA WIDYASARI IN THE STUDY OF ORGANIZATIONAL SOCIOLOGY AND POLITICAL SOCIOLOGY - Friendly Albertus, Muh. Harianto Ahamung, Pahmi Hidayat	244-250
THE USE OF ONLINE SYSTEMS IN ACADEMIC ADMINISTRATION ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT FRAUD IN UNIVERSITIES - Muhammad Arif Nugraha	251-257
LEADERSHIP IN GOOD CORPORATE GOVERNANCE (GCG) AS A ROLE MODEL OF ANTI-FRAUD CULTURE - Mustika Prabaningrum Kusumawati	258-265
RELIGIOSITY MODERATION ON THE EFFECT OF WHISTLE- BLOWING INTENTION AND FRAUD TENDENCY - Veni Nopeanti, Tarjo, Bambang Haryadi	266-276
DETECTION OF INDICATIONS OF FRAUD IN FINANCIAL STATE- MENTS USING FINANCIAL SHENANIGANS - Eklamsia Sakti, Tarjo, Prasetyono, Moh. Rizkiyadi	277-287
AN EFFECTIVE ANTI-FRAUD PROGRAM: HOW DO WE KNOW? (THE CHALLENGE OF FINDING AN ANTI-FRAUD PROGRAM IN THE INDONESIAN PUBLIC SECTORS) - Dedy Eryanto	288-301

AUTHOR INDEX

[VOLUME 5 2020]

A		N	
Ade Yuliar	233	Nasarudin	11
Aprina Nugrahesthy Sulistya Hapsari	126, 161	Nyoman Sunarti	137
Ari Nur Rahman	33	Nugroho Ari Setyawan	201
Aulia Damar Sustika	161	P	
Aura Kristiani	183	Pahmi Hidayat	244
B		Panzi Aulia Rahman	33
Bambang Haryadi	266	Paramita Nur Kurniati	171
Bernardus Yulianto Nugroho	171	Posma Sariguna Johnson Kennedy	221
D		Prasetyono	277
Dede Rahmat	75, 212	Putu Sukma Kurniawan	137
Dedy Eryanto	288	R	
Dedy Susanto	98	Rima Semiarty	24, 56
Dita Andraeny	233	Rizanda Machmud	24, 56
Dona Ramadhan	118	S	
E		Sance Mariana Tameon	87
Edi Supriyono	126	Santi Lina	221
Edy Sujana	137	Santy Setiawan	183
Eka C. Setyawan	147	Sofyan Widjaya	212
Eko Gumaya Sari	75, 212	Suharto	108
Eklamsia Sakti	277	Syafrawati	24, 56
Endrojoyo Sigit Triyono	33	Syed Mohamed Aljunid	24, 56
F		T	
Frendly Albertus	244	Tarjo	266, 277
Friandry Windisany Thoomaszen	87	Tomy Michael	241
H		Y	
Henry Adrian Sumule	33	Yenni Carolina	183
Heri Kurniadi Sugiyo Pranoto	1	V	
I		Veni Nopeanti	266
I Nyoman Putra Yasa			
Indra Soeharto			
Intiyas Utami	161		

L

Lucky Nurhadiyanto 68

M

Moh. Rizkiyadi 277

Muh. Harianto Ahamung 244

Muhammad Arfan Pratama 191

Muhammad Arif Nugraha 251

Mulyani Rahayu 62

Mustika Prabaningrum Kusumawati 33, 258

Mustofa Kamal 11

ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO REVIEWERS

Contribution from the following Reviewers in this issue was very appreciated:

- | | | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | Dr. Norazida Mohamed | [SCOPUS ID: 57209597338] Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia |
| 2 | Prof. Dr. Wiwik Utami, Ak, CMA, CA, CSRS | [Scopus ID: 55091214600] Departement of Accounting, Universitas Mercu Buana, Indonesia |
| 3 | Dr (Cand) Amrie Firmansyah, MM, MAk, ME, CSRS, CSRA, CSP | Politeknik Keuangan Negara STAN, Indonesia |
| 4 | Dr. Erna Setiany, SE, M.Si | [SCOPUS ID: 56743334800] Departement of Research, Universitas Mercu Buana, Indonesia |
| 5 | Prof. Dr. Bambang Waluyo, SH. MH. | Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta, Indonesia |
| 6 | Dr. Wicipto Setiadi, SH. MH. | Universitas Pembangunan Nasional Veteran Jakarta, Indonesia |
| 7 | Dr. Pujiono SE., Ak., M.Si. | [SCOPUS ID:57200392519] Accounting Department, Faculty of Economics, Universitas Negeri Surabaya |
| 8 | Putri Wulanditya | STIE Perbanas Surabaya, Indonesia |
| 9 | Meidyah Indreswari, S.E., Ak., M.Sc., Ph.D | Indonesia |
| 10 | Dr. Tanggor Sihombing, B.A., M.B.A | Universitas Pelita Harapan, Indonesia |
| 11 | Dr. Farid A. Sobhani | [SCOPUS ID: 26657748500] Professor, School of Business & Economics, United International University |
| 12 | Dr. Alwan Sri Kustono, S.E, M.Si, Ak | [SCOPUS ID: 57193236393] Departement of Accounting, Universitas Jember, Indonesia |
| 13 | Prof. Drs.Basuki M.Com(Hons), PhD, Ak, CMA, CA | Departement of Accounting, Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia |
| 14 | Prof. Dr. Arif Muntasa, S.Si., MT | [SCOPUS ID: 35729163900] Departement of Informatics Engineering, Universitas Trunojoyo Madura, Indonesia |
| 15 | Dr. Misnen Ardiansyah, M.Si., SE., Ak., CA | Departement of Islamic Finance, Universitas Islam Negeri Sunan Kalijaga, Indonesia |
| 16 | RimawanPradiptyo, S.E., M.Sc., Ph.D. | [SCOPUS ID: 18635103100] Department of Economic, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Indonesia |
| 17 | Fuad, S.ET., M.Si., Ph.D. | [SCOPUS ID: 57195477335] Department of Accounting Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia |
| 18 | Faisal, SE., M.Si., Ph.D. [SCOPUS | ID: 56625699700] Department of Accounting, Universitas Diponegoro, Indonesia |
| 19 | Dr. Rozmita Dewi Yuniarti, M.Six | Department of Accounting, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Indonesia |
| 20 | Jaswadi, SE, M.Si, DBA, Ak, CA, CPA | Department of Accounting, Politeknik Negeri Malang, Indonesia |

TEMPLATE

Type the Title of Your Manuscript

[The title no more than 20 words]

First Author¹, Second Author², Third Author³

¹First affiliation, Department, Faculty, University
Address, City and Postcode, Country

²Second affiliation, Department, Faculty, University
Address, City and Postcode, Country

ABSTRACT

The abstract should stand alone, means that no citation in abstract. The abstract should concisely inform the reader of the manuscript's purpose, its methods, its findings, and its value. The abstract should be relatively nontechnical, yet clear enough for an informed reader to understand the manuscript's contribution. The manuscript's title, but neither the author's name nor other identification designations, should appear on the abstract page. An abstract consist of no more than 160 words.

Article history:

Received: 2018-00-00

Revised: 2018-00-00

Accepted: 2018-00-00

JEL Classification: [example: D43, L12, G32]

Keywords: We would like to encourage you to list your keywords in this section (6-8 words).

DOI:

✉ Corresponding Author:

Name author: Tel.

E-mail:

INTRODUCTION

What is the purpose of the study? Why are you conducting the study? The main section of an article should start with an introductory section which provides more details about the paper's purposes, motivation, research methods and findings. The introduction

should be relatively nontechnical, yet clear enough for an informed reader to understand the manuscript's contribution.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

The literature review represents the theoretical core of an article. In this section, we will discuss the purpose of a literature review. We will also consider how one should go about to find appropriate literature on which to base a literature review and how this information should be managed. Finally, we will answer four questions that first-time researchers often battle with when compiling a literature review.

These questions are: which aspects should I include in a literature review?; how should I go about to synthesise information in a literature review?; how should I structure a literature review? what writing style should I use when compiling a literature review?

The purpose of a literature review is to “look again” (re + view) at what other researchers have done regarding a specific topic (Leedy & Ormrod 2005:70). A literature review is a means to an end, namely to provide background to and serve as motivation for the objectives and hypotheses that guide your own research (Perry et al. 2003:660)

A good literature review does not merely summarise relevant previous research. In the literature review, the researcher critically evaluates, re-organises and synthesises the work of others (Leedy & Ormrod, 2005:84). In a sense, compiling a literature review is like making a smoothie or fruit shake: The end product is a condensed mix that differs totally in appearance from the individual ingredients used as inputs. The key to a successful literature review lies in your ability to “digest” information from different sources, critically evaluate it and present your conclusions in a concise, logical and reader-friendly” manner.

First-time researchers often naively believe everything they read or are scared to criticise the work of others. However, academic research is all about critical enquiry! It is, therefore, extremely important that you critically evaluate the material that you read. Do you agree with the arguments and conclusions of other researchers? If you disagree, why? Can you identify contradictory arguments or findings? How could one explain

these contradictions? Do the findings of previous studies apply in all contexts or are the findings context-specific? What are the criticisms against the conceptual models or measurement approaches discussed in the literature? Which limitations should be considered when interpreting the results of previous research?

You have to carefully read the most recent available literature with a view to identify specific gaps, inconsistencies and/or controversies that may form the basis of your own research. Always show that you have considered an issue from a number of angles and that you are aware of the arguments for and against a specific point of view. Many researchers in services marketing, for example, use the SERVQUAL measurement scale without considering existing criticisms against it.

To compile a proper literature review, one has to overcome three specific challenges, namely: finding appropriate literature on a specific topic; managing the information; and presenting a logical, synthesised and reader-friendly review of the current knowledge relating to a specific topic. Consider the following search strategies: Blackwell Synergy; Proquest Data Basis; EBSCOhost (Business Source Premier and Business Source Premier); Emerald; Taylor and Francis; Infotrac; Wiley InterScience; and others open access journal using Google Scholar. To view information about the "literature review" more fully, please visit the link http://www.btsau.kiev.ua/sites/default/files/scopus/%D0%A1%D1%83%D0%BF%D0%B5%D1%80%20-%20writing_an_academic_journal_article.pdf.

METHODS

Methods section describes the steps followed in the execution of the study and also provides a brief justification for the research methods used (Perry et al., 2003:661). It should contain enough detail to enable the reader to evaluate the appropriateness of your methods and the reliability and validity of your findings. Furthermore, the information should enable experienced researchers to replicate your study (American Psychological Association, 2001:17).

The methodology section typically has the following sub-sections:

- Sampling (description of target population, research context and units of analysis; sampling; and respondent profile)
- Data collection
- Measures (Alternatively: Measurement)

RESULTS

The results section summarizes the data collected for study in the form of descriptive statistics and also reports the results of relevant inferential stastically analysis (e.g., hypothesis tests) conducted on the data. You need to report the results in sufficient detail so that the reader can see which stasticall analyses were conducted and why, and to justify your conclusions. Mention all relevant results, including those that are at odds with the stated hypotheses (American Psycho;ogy Association 2001: 20).

There is no fixed recipe for presenting the findings of a study. We will, therefore, first consider general guidelines and then turn our attention to options for reporting descriptive statistics and the results of hypothesis test.

Reporting Research Results

You should present your findings as concisely as possible and still provide enough detail to properly justify your conclusions, as well as enable the reader to understand exactly what you did in terms of data analysis and why.

You may assume that the reader has a working knowledge of basic statistics (i.e., typically the contents covered in a 1st statistics course). It is, therefore, not necessary to discuss basic statistical procedures in detail. You may, however, haveto explain advanced multivariate statistical methods (e.g., repeated measures ANOVA, two- or – way ANOVA, multiple regression analysis and factor analysis) in nen-technical terms. Figures and Tables (detached from main of manuscript) often allow one to present findings in a clear and concise manner.

Example:

Insert Table 1 Here

Insert Figure 1 Here

DISCUSSION

In many ways, is the most important section in an article (Feldman, 2004:4). Because it is the last thing a reader sees, it can have a major impact on the reader's perceptions of the article and of the research conducted (Summers 2001:411).

Different authors take different approaches when writing the discussion section. According to Feldman (2004:5), Perry et al. 2003: 658), and Summers 2001: 411-412), the discussion section should:

- Restate the study's main purpose
- Reaffirm the importance of the study by restating its main contributions
- Summarize the results in relation to each stated research objective or hypothesis without introducing new material
- Relate the findings back to the literature and to the results reported by other researchers
- Provide possible explanations for unexpected or non-significant findings
- Discuss the managerial implications of the study
- Highlight the main limitations of the study that could influence its internal and external validity
- Discuss insightful (i.e., non-obvious) directions or opportunities for future research on the topic

The discussion section should not merely restate the findings reported in the result section or report additional findings that have not been discussed earlier in the article. The focus should rather be on highlighting the broader implications of the study's findings and relating these back to previous research. Make sure that the conclusions you reach follow logically from and are substantiated by the evidence presented in your study (Varadarajan 1996: 5).

CONCLUSION

In this section, author present brief conclusions from the results of research with suggestions for advanced researchers or general readers. A conclusion may review the main points of the paper, do not replicate the abstract as the conclusion.

Not only do author write down the major flaws and limitations of the study, which can reduce the validity of the writing, thus raising questions from the readers (whether, or in what way), the limits in his studies may have affected the results and conclusions. Limitations require critical judgment and interpretation of their impact. The author should provide the answer to the question: is this a problem with error, method, validity, and or otherwise?

Writing an academic article is a challenging, but very fulfilling, endeavor. Hopefully the guidelines presented here will enable you to write your first academic article with relative ease. Students, however, often underestimate the time required to produce a “poished” first effort. You cannot write a proper research article in a weekend or even in a week. It is, therefore, extremely important to allow yourself enough time—at least three to four weeks—to work on the successive draft.

REFERENCES

Each manuscript must include a reference list containing only the quoted work and using the [Mendeley](#), [EndNote](#), or [Zotero](#) tool. Each entry should contain all the data needed for unambiguous identification. With the author-date system, use the following format recommended by Harvard-Anglia.

The basics of a Reference List entry for a journal article:

- - Single author. The surname is followed by first initials.
- More than 1 authors, first author [the surname is followed by first initials], second author and so on [initial for first name is followed the surname]
- Year of publication of the article.
- Article title (dot).
- Journal title (in italics).
- Number of journal volume.
- Issue number of journal (in parentheses).
- Page range of article.

Example:

Tarjo and Herawati, N. (2015). Application of Beneish M-Score Models and Data Mining to Detect Financial Fraud. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences* [211](#) : 924-930.

Rezaee, Hogan, E.Chris, Zabihollah, Richard A. Riley, Jr., And Uma K. Velury. (2008). Financial Statement Fraud: Insights From The Academic Literature. *Journal Of Auditing* 27 (2): 231-252.

Summers, J. O. (2001). Guideline for conducting research and publishing in marketing: From conceptualization through the review process. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* 29 (4): 405-415.

Feldman, D. C. (2004). The devil is in the details: Converting good research into publishable articles. *Journal of Management* 30 (1): 1-6.

TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Title.....

Column 1	Column 2	Column 2	Column 2
Abcde1	0.xxx	0.xxx1	0.xxx2

Abcde2	0.yyyy	0.yyyy1	0.yyyy2
Abcde3	0.zzz	0.zzz1	0.zzz2
Abcde4	0.aaaa	0.aaaa1	0.aaaa2

Figure 1. **Title.....**

